

## TYOLOGY VERSUS ATTRIBUTE ANALYSIS

Here I assume you are all familiar with the discussion in the 60's and 70's between the Binfordians and the Bordesians concerning typology versus attributes in the understanding of assemblages.

### READING LIST FOR NOVEMBER 13, 2001

#### ANTH 228 - LITHIC TECHNOLOGY

+ denotes recommended, not required reading

Barton, C.M. (1991). Retouched tools: fact or fiction? Paradigms for interpreting paleolithic chipped stone. In Clark, G.A. (ed), *Perspectives on the Past: Theoretical Biases in Mediterranean Hunter-gatherer Research*, pp. 143-163. University of Pennsylvania Press.

Clark, G.A. (1989). Romancing the stones: biases, style, and lithics at La Riera. In Henry, D.O., and Odell, G.H. (eds), *Alternative Approaches to Lithic Analysis*, pp.27-50. Archaeological Papers of the American Anthropological Association 1.

Close, A. (1978). The identification of style in lithic artefacts. *World Archaeology* **10**:223-237.

Coinman, N.R., Clark, G.A., and Donaldson, M.I. (1989). Aspects of structure in an Epipaleolithic occupation site in West Jordan. In Henry, D.O., and Odell, G.H. (eds), *Alternative Approaches to Lithic Analysis*, pp.213-235. Archaeological Papers of the American Anthropological Association 1.

Dibble, H.L. (1987). Interpreting typological variation of Middle Paleolithic scrapers: function, style, or sequence of reduction? *Journal of Field Archaeology* **11**:431-436.

Gero, J. M. (1991). Genderlithics: Women's role in stone tool production. In Gero, J.M. and Conkey, M. W. (eds) *Engendering Archaeology: Women and Prehistory*, pp. 163-193.

+Gero, J.M. (1989). Assessing social information in material objects: how well do lithics measure up? In Torrence, R. (ed). *Time, Energy, and Stone Tools*, pp. 67-77. Cambridge University Press.

Neeley, M.P., and Barton, C.M. (1994). A new approach to interpreting late Pleistocene microlith industries in southwest Asia. *Antiquity* **68**:275-288.

Odell, G. (1981). The morphological express at function junction: searching for meaning in lithic tool types. *Journal of Anthropological Research* **37**:319-342.

Sackett, J.R. (1989). Statistics, attributes, and the dynamics of burin typology. In Henry, D.O., and Odell, G.H. (eds), *Alternative Approaches to Lithic Analysis*, pp.51-82. Archaeological Papers of the American Anthropological Association 1.

+Shackley, M. S. (1996). Elko or San Pedro?: Inferences from a quantitative analysis of Late Archaic projectile points from White Tanks, Yuma County, Arizona. *Kiva* 61:413-432.

+Shackley, M.S. (2001) The stone tool technology of Ishi and the Yana of north central California: inferences for hunter-gatherer cultural identity in historic California. *American Anthropologist* 102:693-712.

+Sliva, R.J. (1999). Cienega points and Late Archaic period chronology in the Southern Southwest. *Kiva* 64:339-368.

Shott, M.J. (1996). Innovation and selection in prehistory: a case study from the American Bottom. In G. Odell (ed.) *Stone Tools: Theoretical Insights into Human Prehistory*, pp. 279-314. Plenum Press, New York.